

CASE NO	144	6	
DATE OF H	EARING _	8	17/2023

Town of Aurora Zoning Board of Appeals 300 Gleed Avenue, East Aurora, New York 14052

# **Zoning Board of Appeals Application Form**

I. TYPE OF REQUEST		
X AREA VARIANCE SPECIAL USE PERMIT	USE VARIANCE INTERPRETATION	
II. APPLICANT/PETITIONER		
Applicant's Name NEIL MUNRO		
Address 424 Sweet ROAD	State N.V. 71D 140.52	COMPANY DESCRIPTION OF CHARACTER STATE OF CHARACTER
City EAST AURORA Phone 716- (E	mail NEILN 21P 19092	
Interest in the purchaser/developer)	Illali Neich	3 M
purchaser/developer)		
III. PROPERTY OWNER INFORMATION (If different	from applicant information.)	
Property Owner(s) Name(s)		
Address		***************************************
City           Phone         Fax         E	State ZIP	
Phone Fax E	mail	mijdenforigens and an east an annual regularization and translations and the second
III. PROPERTY INFORMATION		
Property Address 424 SWEET RD, EAST		
SBL# 187.00-1-2	615.55 / ALL	PROPERTIE
Property size in acres 3.5 Pro		TOTAL
Zoning District Rt RR Sui	rrounding Zoning A	,597.88
Current Use of Property RESIDENCE		
IV. REQUEST DETAIL  (check all that apply)  Variance from Ordinance Section(s) # SAME A	5 HOUSE 187.00-1-2	
Special Use Permit for:		
Use Variance for:_ GARAGE		
Interpretation of		

V. SIGNATURES (This application must be signed the owner of the property, a separate owner authorized)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
here Muns	
Signature of Applicant/Petitioner	
NEIL T. MUNRO	
Print name of Applicant/Petitioner	
On the 27 day of Lune in the year 2023 before me, the above individual appeared, personally known to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the individual whose name is subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same for the purposes therein stated.  Motary Public  SHERYLA. MILLER	
Reg. #01Ml6128663 Notary Public, State of New York Qualified In Eric County Commission Expires June 13, 20	
Office Use Only: Date received: 4/28/23	# 100 CK 1202 Receipt #: 568386 APL
Application reviewed by:	
ECDP ZR-1 form sent to EC:	Hearing publication date:
PREVIOUS APPEAL(S):	
A previous appeal to the Zoning Board of Appeals ( ) ha	s ( ) has not been made with respect to this property.
Previous appeals:	
Date: Type of Appeal:	Granted Denied
Date: Type of Appeal:	Granted Denied

### **PETITIONER'S LETTER OF INTENT**

reques	e describe in detail the proposed project, reason the variance and/or special use permit is being sted and any additional information that may be helpful to the Zoning Board of Appeals in deciding this little: (attach additional pages if needed)
	SEE ATTACHED LETTER
politificación de consequencia con consequencia de consequenci	
TO RE	COMPLETED ONLY WHEN A USE VARIANCE IS BEING REQUESTED:
	Variance is requested because the applicable regulations and restrictions in the Zoning Code of the
	of Aurora have caused unnecessary hardship as demonstrated by the following:
1)	I cannot realize a reasonable return on my property for each and every permitted use allowed in the current zoning classification as demonstrated by the accompanying financial evidence (provide financial evidence to support your argument).  Financial Evidence Provided Yes No (financial evidence is required per NYS Town Law)
2)	Describe why your alleged hardship relating to the subject property is unique and does not apply to other properties in the zoning district or neighborhood:  THE POSITION OF OUR HOUSE
	ON THE HIGHEST SECTION OF THE PROPERTY. THE DRIVEWAY IS AT AN
	SCLINE AND TURNS AS YOU DRIVE UP TOWARD THE HOUSE. THE
	SPECIAL VARIANCE TO BUILD A GARAGE WOULD BE AT THE MID WAY TURN IN THE DRIVEWAY RECESS PLACED IN THE WOODS.
3)	Describe why you believe that the essential character of the neighborhood/community will not change if the Zoning Board of Appeals grants you a use variance: WE ARE LAND LOCKED
	WITHOUT ANY ADJOINING NEIGHBOR PROPERITES, THE GARAGE
Name to the state of the state	HOULD NOT BE VERY N. THE GARAGE WOULD BE INSIDE
	THE DRIVEWMY RELESS PLACED IN A WOODED AREA.
Bernanderman desting gelderlanding destande, ber	
4) explai	Is your need for a use variance a result of you own actions (is your difficulty self-created)? Please in: Tr My own Action
CAPIGI	
Griffin Palmana ang matakan pandakan panda ang	
(Attacl	h additional pages if needed)

**Subject: Proposed Building** 

Date: Friday, May 19, 2023 at 7:17:42 PM Eastern Daylight Time

From: Neil Munro
To: Neil Munro

May 19, 2023

Neil T. Munro 424 Sweet Road East Aurora, New York 14052 716-870-5035

Aurora Town Board 300 Gleed Ave. East Aurora, New York 14052

#### Dear Aurora Town Board,

We are asking for a special building variance to add a Rustic Cedar Batten Garage Structure on our property approximately 25' x 35'. The structure would be built in the wooded area at the mid-section recess of our existing driveway. The way our land and driveway is constructed would allow the building to be placed on the left side of a rising natural knoll and built inside the woods.

We are a corner property and own all sides of the areas around us. We have no neighbors directly on either side of the property. Our south frontage is 470.71 ft. & east property we own is 290.0 ft for a continual frontage of 760. 71 ft. On the west Grover side is 144.84 ft. that we own. Then north-west of Sweet Rd is our Farm at 892 Grover Rd with 692.33 ft. The Sweet Rd property is completely land locked on all sides that we own. My nearest neighbor is Wilson Curry on Sweet Rd which is roughly 650.0 ft. from our house and the proposed structure. We own the land between us.

Our family company Munro Products has been in business for 51 years. We are a quality construction company and would be the sub-builder with the supplier. All plans would be certified with a NYS Engineering firm. I have included a rough design and scale of the building in question for your review. The site and foundation work would be completed by Jeff Eaton Excavation Company, of East Aurora.

On May 15, I met with Rich Miga, Building Enforcement Officer. He mentioned that the building structure that we are proposing in this location, had a good chance in receiving a special building variance because we own all areas around the structure. Being built in the woods was a positive aspect for a special variance as well.

Finally, we own all our properties on Sweet Road and Grover Road free and clear of personal debt and without any bank mortgage. We are the sole deed holders and all town taxes are paid in full.

Thank you very much for your consideration.

neil

is.com

**SUPERVISOR** James J. Bach (716) 652-7590 ibach@townofaurora.com



TOWN CLERK Martha L. Librock (716) 652-3280 townclerk@townofaurora.com

### TOWN OF AURORA

#### **Aurora Municipal Center**

575 Oakwood Avenue, East Aurora, NY 14052 www.townofaurora.com

TOWN COUNCIL MEMBERS

Charles D. Snyder

Luke Wochensky

July 13, 2023 - Revised

csnyder@townofaurora.com

Neil Munro 424 Sweet Road

lwochensky@townofaurora.com James F. Granville

East Aurora, NY 14052

jgranville@townofaurora.com

Joseph M. McCann jmccann@townofaurora.com Neil Munro:

SUPT. OF HIGHWAYS David M. Gunner (716) 652-4050 The Building Department has reviewed your request to construct detached garage at 424 Sweet Road (SBL 187.00-1-2). The request has been denied because it fails to meet the requirements for no accessory buildings/structures in the front yard and mean height for accessory buildings/structures.

highway@townofaurora.com

Section 116-8.4(B)(2)

CODE ENFORCEMENT **OFFICER** 

Required: Maximum mean height for accessory buildings/structures shall not exceed 15'.

Elizabeth Cassidy

Requested: 16'-10.5" mean height.

(716) 652-7591

Variance: 1'-10.5"

building@townofaurora.com

Section 116-8.4(E)(4)

**ASSESSOR** Roger P. Pigeon

Required: No accessory buildings/structures shall be erected in the front yard.

assessor@townofaurora.com

Requested: Detached garage with lean-to to be constructed in front yard of a corner lot.

(716) 652-0011

Variance: Detached garage in front yard.

DIR. OF RECREATION Christopher Musshafen (716) 652-8866 chris@townofaurora.com

Based on the review of the site plan provided for this application, it was found that the existing single-family dwelling does not have an approved variance for a nonconforming side yard setback.

TOWN ATTORNEY Brigid M. Maloney

Section 116-8.1(F)(1)

Required: 20' side yard setback for a principal building.

TOWN JUSTICE Jeffrey P. Markello Anthony DiFilippo IV

Requested: 15.17' side yard setback.

Variance: 4.83'

HISTORIAN Robert L. Goller (716) 652-7944 historian@townofaurora.com This letter serves as notice that we have received the application and fee for the Zoning Board of Appeals. You will receive notification from the Town Clerk with the date and time of the next available hearing. If you have any questions, please contact our office at 652-7591.

FAX: (716) 652-3507

Richard Miga

Assistant Code Enforcement Officer

This institution is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

## Short Environmental Assessment Form Part 1 - Project Information

#### **Instructions for Completing**

Part 1 - Project Information. The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

Part 1 - Project and Sponsor Information					
Munro Products-Neil T. Munro					
Name of Action or Project:					
Neil T. Munro					
Project Location (describe, and attach a location map):					
424 Sweet Road, East Aurora, New York 14052					
Brief Description of Proposed Action:					
Rustic Garage					
Name of Applicant or Sponsor:	Telepho	hone			
eil T. Munro					
Address:	•			1916	
City/PO:		State:	Zip	Code:	
1. Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, le	ocal law	, ordinance,		NO	YES
administrative rule, or regulation?					
If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action and may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continue to			hat	1	Ш
2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from any				NO	YES
If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval:	omer go	vernimentai rigency:	F		LES
				1	
	~~~~~~~~~~				
3.a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action?  b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed?	2.	5 acres			
c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned		_ acres			
or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor?		_acres			
4. Check all land uses that occur on, adjoining and near the proposed action  ☐ Urban ☐ Rural (non-agriculture) ☐ Industrial ☐ Comm		Residential (subur	han)		
□ Forest □ Agriculture □ Aquatic □ Other		,	Julij		
Parkland	(specity)	1.			
united					

5. Is the proposed action,	NO	YES	N/A
a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations?		$\checkmark$	
b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan?		<b>✓</b>	
6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural		NO	YES
landscape?			<b>✓</b>
7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental Ar If Yes, identify:	:ea?	NO	YES
8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?		NO	YES
1			
b. Are public transportation service(s) available at or near the site of the proposed action?		✓	
c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near site of the proposed ac	tion?	V	
9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements? If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies:		NO	YES
——————————————————————————————————————		$\checkmark$	
10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply?		NO	MEC
		NO	YES
If No, describe method for providing potable water:			V
THE WEST OF THE PROPERTY OF TH		NO	N HDG
11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities?		NO	YES
If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment:		V	
12. a. Does the site contain a structure that is listed on either the State or National Register of Historic		NO	YES
Places?		$\checkmark$	
b. Is the proposed action located in an archeological sensitive area?		1	
13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, conta wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency?	iin	NO	YES
	0		
<ul> <li>b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody</li> <li>If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres:</li> </ul>		V	
14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check  ☐ Shoreline ☐ Forest ☐ Agricultural/grasslands ☐ Early mid-succes		t apply:	
☐ Wetland ☐ Urban ☐ Suburban			
15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed		NO	YES
by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered?		<b>V</b>	
16. Is the project site located in the 100 year flood plain?		NO	YES
		<b>V</b>	
17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources?  If Yes,		NO	YES
a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties?			
b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm dra	ains)?		
If Yes, briefly describe:	,		
		-	

18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g. retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)?	NO	YES
If Yes, explain purpose and size:	<b>V</b>	
19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility?	NO	YES
If Yes, describe:	<b>✓</b>	
20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste?  If Yes, describe:	NO 🗸	YES
I AFFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE KNOWLEDGE  Applicant/sponsor name: Neil T. Munro  Signature: NTM  Date: 6-27-23	BEST C	F MY





